

RESEARCH

Open Access

# On the Volterra property of a boundary problem with integral gluing condition for a mixed parabolic-hyperbolic equation

Abdumauvlen S Berdyshev<sup>1</sup>, Alberto Cabada<sup>2</sup>, Erkinjon T Karimov<sup>3\*</sup> and Nazgul S Akhtaeva<sup>1</sup>

\*Correspondence:

erkinjon@gmail.com

<sup>3</sup>Institute of Mathematics, National University of Uzbekistan named after Mirzo Ulughbek, Tashkent, Uzbekistan

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

## Abstract

In the present work, we consider a boundary value problem with gluing conditions of an integral form for the parabolic-hyperbolic type equation. We prove that the considered problem has the Volterra property. The main tools used in the work are related to the method of the integral equations and functional analysis.

## Introduction

The theory of mixed type equations is one of the principal parts of the general theory of partial differential equations. The interest for these kinds of equations arises intensively because of both theoretical and practical uses of their applications. Many mathematical models of applied problems require investigations of this type of equations.

The actuality of the consideration of mixed type equations has been mentioned, for the first time, by S. A. Chaplygin in 1902 in his famous work 'On gas streams' [1]. The first fundamental results in this direction was obtained in 1920-1930 by Tricomi [2] and Gellerstedt [3]. The works of Lavrent'ev [4], Bitsadze [5, 6], Frankl [7], Protter [8, 9] and Morawetz [10], have had a great impact in this theory, where outstanding theoretical results were obtained and pointed out important practical values of them. Bibliography of the main fundamental results on this direction can be found, among others, in the monographs of Bitsadze [6], Berezansky [11], Bers [12], Salakhitdinov and Urinov [13] and Nakhushev [14].

In most of the works devoted to the study of mixed type equations, the object of study was mixed elliptic-hyperbolic type equations. Comparatively, few results have been obtained on the study of mixed parabolic-hyperbolic type equations. However, this last type of equations have also numerous applications in the real life processes (see [15] for an interesting example in mechanics). The reader can find a nice example given, for the first time, by Gelfand in [16], and connect with the movement of the gas in a channel surrounded by a porous environment. Inside the channel, the movement of gas was described by the wave equation and outside by the diffusion one. Mathematic models of this kind of problems arise in the study of electromagnetic fields, in a heterogeneous environment, consisting of dielectric and conductive environment for modeling the movement of a little compressible fluid in a channel surrounded by a porous medium [17]. Here, the wave equation describes the hydrodynamic pressure of the fluid in the channel, and the equation of filtration-pressure fluid in a porous medium. Similar problems arise in the study of the magnetic intensity of the electromagnetic field [17].

In the last few years, the investigations on local boundary value problems, for mixed equations in domains with non-characteristic boundary data, were intensively increased. We point out that the studies made on boundary value problems for equations of mixed type, in domains with deviation from the characteristics (with a non-characteristic boundary), have originated with the fundamental works of Bitsadze [5], where the generalized Tricomi problem (Problem M) for an equation of mixed type is discussed.

In the works [18] and [19], the analog to the Tricomi problem for a modeled parabolic-hyperbolic equation, was investigated in a domain with a non-characteristic boundary in a hyperbolic part. Moreover, the uniqueness of solution and the Volterra property of the formulated problem was proved. We also refer to the recent works devoted to the study of parabolic-hyperbolic equations [20–23].

In the last years, the interest for considering boundary value problems of parabolic-hyperbolic type, with integral gluing condition on the line of type changing, is increasing [24, 25].

In the present work, we study the analog to the generalized Tricomi problem with an integral gluing condition on the line of type changing. We prove that the formulated problem has the Volterra property. The obtained result generalizes some previous ones from Sadybekov and Tajzhanova given in [28].

### Formulation of the problem

Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  be a domain, bounded at  $y > 0$  by segments  $AA_0, A_0B_0, BB_0$  of straight lines  $x = 0, y = 1, x = 1$ , respectively, and at  $y < 0$  by a monotone smooth curve  $AC : y = -\gamma(x)$ ,  $0 < x < l, 1/2 < l < 1, \gamma(0) = 0, l + \gamma(l) = 1$  and by the segment  $BC : x - y = 1, l \leq x < 1$ , which is the characteristic curve of the equation

$$Lu = f(x, y), \tag{1}$$

where

$$Lu = \begin{cases} u_x - u_{yy}, & y > 0, \\ u_{xx} - u_{yy}, & y < 0. \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

Now we state the problem that we will consider along the paper:

**Problem B** To find a solution of Eq. (1), satisfying boundary conditions

$$u(x, y)|_{AA_0 \cup A_0B_0} = 0, \tag{3}$$

$$(u_x - u_y)|_{AC} = 0 \tag{4}$$

and gluing conditions

$$\begin{aligned} u_x(x, +0) &= u_x(x, -0), \\ u_y(x, +0) &= \alpha u_y(x, -0) + \beta \int_0^x u_y(t, -0)Q(x, t) dt, \quad 0 < x < 1, \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

where  $Q$  is a given function such that  $Q \in C^1([0, 1] \times [0, 1])$ , and  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$  satisfy  $\alpha^2 + \beta^2 > 0$ .

When the curve  $AC$  coincides with the characteristic one  $x + y = 0$ ,  $\alpha = 1$  and  $\beta = 0$ , the Problem B is just the Tricomi problem for parabolic-hyperbolic equation with a non-characteristic line of type changing, which has been studied in [26].

Regular solvability of the Problem B with continuous gluing conditions ( $\alpha = 1$ ,  $\beta = 0$ ) have been proved, for the first time, in [27], and strong solvability of this problem was proved in the work [28].

Several properties, including the Volterra property of boundary problems for mixed parabolic-hyperbolic equations, have been studied in the works [29–33].

We denote the parabolic part of the mixed domain  $\Omega$  as  $\Omega_0$  and the hyperbolic part by  $\Omega_1$ .

A regular solution of the Problem B in the domain  $\Omega$  will be a function

$$u \in C(\bar{\Omega}) \cap C^1(\Omega_0 \cup AB) \cap C^1(\Omega_1 \cup AC \cup AB) \cap C^{1,2}(\Omega_0) \cap C^{2,2}(\Omega_1),$$

that satisfies Eq. (1) in the domains  $\Omega_0$  and  $\Omega_1$ , the boundary conditions (3)-(4), and the gluing condition (5).

Regarding the curve  $AC$ , we assume that  $x + \gamma(x)$  is monotonically increasing. Then, rewriting it by using the characteristic variables  $\xi = x + y$  and  $\eta = x - y$ , we have that the equation of the curve  $AC$  can be expressed as  $\xi = \lambda(\eta)$ ,  $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$ .

**Main result**

**Theorem 1** *Let  $\gamma \in C^1[0, l]$  and  $Q \in C^1([0, 1] \times [0, 1])$ . Then for any function  $f \in C^1(\bar{\Omega})$ , there exists a unique regular solution of the Problem B.*

*Proof* By a regular solution of the Problem B in the domain  $\Omega_1$  we look for a function that fulfills the following expression:

$$u(\xi, \eta) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \tau(\xi) + \tau(\eta) - \int_{\xi}^{\eta} v_1(t) dt \right] - \int_{\xi}^{\eta} d\xi_1 \int_{\xi_1}^{\eta} f_1(\xi_1, \eta_1) d\eta_1, \tag{6}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \xi = x + y, \quad \eta = x - y, \quad f_1(\xi, \eta) &= \frac{1}{4} f \left( \frac{\xi + \eta}{2}, \frac{\xi - \eta}{2} \right), \\ \tau(x) = u(x, -0), \quad v_1(x) &= u_y(x, -0). \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

Based on (4) from (6), using the expressions on (7), we deduce that

$$v_1(\eta) = \tau'(\eta) - 2 \int_{\lambda(\eta)}^{\eta} f_1(\xi_1, \eta) d\xi_1, \quad 0 \leq \eta \leq 1. \tag{8}$$

By virtue of the unique solvability of the first boundary problem for the heat equation (1) satisfying condition (3), and the fact that  $u(x, 0) = \tau(x)$ , its solution can be represented as

$$u(x, y) = \int_0^x dx_1 \int_0^1 G(x - x_1, y, y_1) f(x_1, y_1) dy_1 + \int_0^x G_{y_1}(x - x_1, y, 0) \tau(x_1) dx_1, \tag{9}$$

where  $\tau(0) = 0$  and  $G(x, y, y_1)$  is the Green's function related to the first boundary problem, for the heat equation in a rectangle  $AA_0B_0B$ , which has the form [34]

$$G(x, y, y_1) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi x}} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} \left[ \exp\left\{-\frac{(y - y_1 + 2n)^2}{4x}\right\} - \exp\left\{-\frac{(y + y_1 + 2n)^2}{4x}\right\} \right]. \quad (10)$$

Calculating the derivative  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}$  in (9) and passing to the limit at  $y \rightarrow 0$ , we get

$$u_y(x, +0) = - \int_0^x k(x-t)u_x(t, +0) dt + F_0(x),$$

where

$$k(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi x}} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-\frac{n^2}{x}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi x^{\frac{1}{2}}}} + \tilde{k}(x), \quad (11)$$

and

$$F_0(x) = \int_0^x dx_1 \int_0^1 G_y(x - x_1, y, y_1)|_{y=0} f(x_1, y_1) dy_1. \quad (12)$$

Thus, the main functional relation between  $\tau'(x)$  and  $v_0(x) = u_y(x, +0)$ , reduced to the segment  $AB$  from the parabolic part of the domain, implies that

$$v_0(x) = - \int_0^x k(x-t)\tau'(t) dt + F_0(x). \quad (13)$$

Suppose, in a first moment, that  $\alpha \neq 0$ . From (8) and (13), considering the gluing condition (5), we obtain the following integral equation regarding the function  $\tau'(x)$ :

$$\tau'(x) + \int_0^x k_1(x, t)\tau'(t) dt = F_1(x). \quad (14)$$

Here,

$$k_1(x, t) = \frac{1}{\alpha} [k(x-t) + \beta Q(x, t)], \quad (15)$$

and

$$F_1(x) = \frac{1}{\alpha} F_0(x) + 2 \int_{\lambda(x)}^x f_1(\xi_1, x) d\xi_1 + \frac{2\beta}{\alpha} \int_0^x Q(x, t) dt \int_{\lambda(t)}^t f(\xi_1, t) d\xi_1. \quad (16)$$

Hence, the Problem B is equivalent, in the sense of unique solvability, to the second kind Volterra integral equation (14).

The restrictions imposed on the functions  $\gamma$ ,  $Q$ , and the right-hand side of Eq. (1) guarantees that, by virtue of (11) and (15), the kernel  $k_1(x, t)$  is a kernel with weak singularity. So, we have that Eq. (14) has a unique solution and  $\tau' \in C^1(0, 1)$ . Since  $\tau(0) = 0$ , we deduce the uniqueness of the function  $\tau$ . Equation (8) gives us the uniqueness of function  $v_1$  and, as consequence, we deduce, from Eq. (6), the uniqueness of solution of Problem B when  $\alpha \neq 0$ .

Consider now the other case, i.e.  $\alpha = 0$  and  $\beta \neq 0$ .

From functional relations (8) and (13), and taking gluing condition (5) into account at  $\alpha = 0$ , we have

$$-\int_0^x k(x-t)\tau'(t) dt + F_0(x) = \beta \int_0^x \left[ \tau'(t) - 2 \int_{\lambda(t)}^t f_1(\xi_1, t) d\xi_1 \right] Q(x, t) dt$$

or, which is the same,

$$\int_0^x \tau'(t)[k(x-t) + \beta Q(x, t)] dt = F_0(x) + 2\beta \int_0^x dt \int_{\lambda(t)}^t Q(x, t)f_1(\xi_1, t) d\xi_1.$$

Considering the representation of  $k(x-t)$ , the previous equation can be rewritten as follows:

$$\int_0^x \frac{\tau'(t) dt}{(x-t)^{1/2}} = \sqrt{\pi} \left[ F_0(x) + 2\beta \int_0^x dt \int_{\lambda(t)}^t Q(x, t)f_1(\xi_1, t) d\xi_1 - \int_0^x \tau'(t)(\tilde{k}(x-t) + \beta Q(x, t)) dt \right]. \tag{17}$$

Since Eq. (17) is the Abel's equation, it can be solved and so we arrive at the following identity:

$$\tau'(x) = \frac{F_0(0)}{\sqrt{\pi x}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \left\{ \int_0^x \frac{F_0'(t) dt}{\sqrt{x-t}} + 2\beta \int_0^x \frac{dt}{\sqrt{x-t}} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_0^t dz \int_{\lambda(z)}^z Q(t, z)f_1(\xi_1, z) d\xi_1 - \int_0^x \frac{dt}{\sqrt{x-t}} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_0^t \tau'(z)[\tilde{k}(x-t) + \beta Q(t, z)] dz \right\}.$$

Considering  $F_0(0) = 0$ , after some simplifications, we get

$$\tau'(x) + \int_0^x K_0(x, z)\tau'(z) dz = F_2(x), \tag{18}$$

where

$$K_0(x, z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \left\{ \frac{Q(z, z)}{\sqrt{x-z}} + \int_0^{x-z} (x-t)^{-1/2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} [\tilde{k}(t-z) + \beta Q(t, z)] dt \right\},$$

$$F_2(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^x dx_1 \int_0^1 \left[ \int_0^{x-x_1} \frac{G_{yt}(t, y_1, 0)}{\sqrt{x-x_1-t}} dt \right] f(x_1, y_1) dy_1$$

$$+ \frac{2\beta}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^x d\eta_1 \int_{\lambda(\eta_1)}^{\eta_1} \left[ \frac{Q(\eta_1, \eta_1)}{\sqrt{x-\eta_1}} + \int_0^{x-\eta_1} \frac{Q_t(t, \eta_1)}{\sqrt{x-\eta_1-t}} dt \right] f_1(\xi_1, \eta_1) d\xi_1. \tag{19}$$

Since the kernel  $K_0(x, z)$  has a weak singularity, then Eq. (18) has a unique solution, and it can be represented as

$$\tau'(x) = F_2(x) + \int_0^x R(x, z)F_2(z) dz, \tag{20}$$

where  $R(x, z)$  is the resolvent kernel of (18).

As a consequence, arguing as in the case  $\alpha \neq 0$ , we deduce, from Eq. (6), the uniqueness of solution of Problem B for  $\alpha = 0$  and  $\beta \neq 0$ , and the result is proved.  $\square$

In the sequel, we will deduce the exact expression of the integral kernel related to the unique solution of Problem B.

To this end, we suppose, at the beginning, that  $\alpha \neq 0$ . Note that the unique solution of Eq. (14) can be represented as

$$\tau'(x) = \int_0^x \Gamma(x, t)F_1(t) dt + F_1(x), \tag{21}$$

where  $\Gamma(x, t)$  is the resolvent kernel of Eq. (14), and it is given by the recurrence formula:

$$\Gamma(x, t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n k_{1n}(x, t), \quad k_{11}(x, t) = k_1(x, t),$$

$$k_{1n+1}(x, t) = \int_0^x k_1(x, z)k_{1n}(t, z) dz.$$

From (21), taking  $\tau(0) = 0$  into account, we have that

$$\tau(x) = \int_0^x \Gamma_1(x, t)F_1(t) dt,$$

where  $\Gamma_1(x, t) = 1 + \int_t^x \Gamma(z, t) dz$ .

From the formula (6), and considering (8), one can easily deduce that

$$u(\xi, \eta) = \tau(\xi) + \int_{\xi}^{\eta} d\eta_1 \int_{\lambda(\eta_1)}^{\eta_1} f_1(\xi_1, \eta_1) d\xi_1. \tag{22}$$

Substituting the representation of  $\tau(x)$  into (22) and considering (12) and (16), after some evaluations we get

$$u(x, y) = \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_0^{\xi} dx_1 \int_0^1 G_1(\xi - x_1, y_1) f(x_1, y_1) dy_1$$

$$+ 2 \int_0^{\xi} d\eta_1 \int_{\lambda(\eta_1)}^{\eta_1} \Gamma_1(\xi, \eta_1) f_1(\xi_1, \eta_1) d\xi_1$$

$$+ \frac{2\beta}{\alpha} \int_0^{\xi} d\eta_1 \int_{\lambda(\eta_1)}^{\eta_1} G_0(\xi - \eta_1, \eta_1) f_1(\xi_1, \eta_1) d\xi_1$$

$$+ \int_{\xi}^{\eta} d\eta_1 \int_{\lambda(\eta_1)}^{\eta_1} f_1(\xi_1, \eta_1) d\xi_1, \tag{23}$$

where

$$G_1(x, y_1) = \int_0^x \Gamma_1(x, t)G_y(t, y_1, 0) dt,$$

and

$$G_0(x, \eta) = \int_0^x Q(z + \eta, \eta)\Gamma_1(x, z) dz.$$

In an analogous way, substituting the representation of  $\tau(x)$  into (9), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 u(x, y) = & \int_0^x dx_1 \int_0^1 G_2(x - x_1, y, y_1) f(x_1, y_1) dy_1 \\
 & + 2 \int_0^x d\eta_1 \int_{\lambda(\eta_1)}^{\eta_1} G_1(x - \eta_1, y) f_1(\xi_1, \eta_1) d\xi_1 \\
 & + \frac{2\beta}{\alpha} \int_0^x d\eta_1 \int_{\lambda(\eta_1)}^{\eta_1} G_{01}(x - \eta_1, \eta_1) f_1(\xi_1, \eta_1) d\xi_1,
 \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

where

$$G_2(x, y, y_1) = G(x, y, y_1) + \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_0^x G_1(t, y_1) G_y(x - t, y, 0) dt$$

and

$$G_{01}(x, \eta_1) = \int_0^x G_y(x_1, y_1, 0) G_0(x - x_1, \eta_1) dx_1.$$

From (23) and (24), we arrive at the following expression:

$$u(x, y) = \iint_{\Omega} K_{\alpha\beta}(x, y, x_1, y_1) f(x_1, y_1) dx_1 dy_1,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 & K_{\alpha\beta}(x, y, x_1, y_1) \\
 & = \theta(y) \left\{ \theta(y_1) \theta(x - x_1) G_2(x - x_1, y, y_1) \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + \theta(-y_1) \theta(x - \eta_1) \left[ G_1(x - \eta_1, y) + \frac{2\beta}{\alpha} G_{01}(x - \eta_1, \eta_1) \right] \right\} \\
 & \quad + \theta(-y) \left\{ \theta(y_1) \theta(\xi - x_1) G_1(\xi - x_1, y_1) + \theta(-y_1) \left[ \frac{1}{2} \theta(\eta - \eta_1) \theta(\eta_1 - \xi) \theta(\xi - \xi_1) \right. \right. \\
 & \quad \left. \left. + \theta(\xi - \eta_1) \left[ \Gamma_1(\xi, \eta_1) + \frac{\beta}{\alpha} G_0(\xi - \eta_1, \eta_1) \right] \right] \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Here,

$$\theta(y) = \begin{cases} 1, & y > 0, \\ 0, & y < 0. \end{cases}$$

When  $\alpha = 0$  and  $\beta \neq 0$ , by using a similar algorithm, we conclude that

$$u(x, y) = \iint_{\Omega} K_{0\beta}(x, y, x_1, y_1) f(x_1, y_1) dx_1 dy_1,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 & K_{0\beta}(x, y, x_1, y_1) \\
 & = \theta(y) \left\{ \theta(y_1) \theta(x - x_1) \left[ G(x - x_1, y, y_1) + G_4(x - x_1, x_1, y, y_1) \right] \right\}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \theta(-y_1)\theta(x - \eta_1)G_5(x - \eta_1, y, \eta_1) \Big\} + \theta(-y) \Big\{ \theta(y_1)\theta(\xi - x_1)G_3(\xi, x_1, y_1) \\
 & + \theta(-y_1) \Big[ \theta(\xi - \eta_1)Q_1(\xi, \eta_1) + \frac{1}{2}\theta(\eta - \eta_1)\theta(\eta_1 - \xi)\theta(\xi - \xi_1) \Big] \Big\},
 \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
 G_3(x, x_1, y_1) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^{x-x_1} \left[ \frac{G_y(z, y_1, 0)}{\sqrt{z}} + \int_0^z \left\{ \frac{G_{ys}(s, y_1, 0)}{\sqrt{z-s}} \right. \right. \\
 & \quad \left. \left. + R(z + x_1, s + x_1) \left[ \frac{G_y(s, y_1, 0)}{\sqrt{s}} + \int_0^s \frac{G_{yt}(t, y_1, 0)}{\sqrt{s-t}} dt \right] \right\} ds \right] dz, \\
 Q_1(x, \eta_1) &= \frac{2\beta}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^{x-\eta_1} \left[ \frac{Q(\eta_1, \eta_1)}{\sqrt{z}} + \int_0^z \left\{ \frac{Q_s(s, \eta_1)}{\sqrt{z-s}} \right. \right. \\
 & \quad \left. \left. + R(z + \eta_1, s + \eta_1) \left[ \frac{Q(\eta_1, \eta_1)}{\sqrt{s}} + \int_0^s \frac{Q_t(t, \eta_1)}{\sqrt{s-t}} dt \right] \right\} ds \right] dz, \\
 G_4(t, x_1, y_1, y) &= \int_0^t G_3(s, x_1, y_1)G_y(t - s, y, 0) ds
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$G_5(x, y, \eta_1) = \int_0^x G_y(x - x_1, y, 0)Q_1(x_1, \eta_1) dx_1.$$

Thus, we have partially proved the following lemma.

**Lemma 1** *The unique regular solution of Problem B can be represented as follows:*

$$u(x, y) = \iint_{\Omega} K(x, y, x_1, y_1)f(x_1, y_1) dx_1 dy_1 \quad (x, y) \in \Omega, \tag{25}$$

where  $K(x, y, x_1, y_1) \in L_2(\Omega \times \Omega)$  and

$$\begin{aligned}
 K(x, y, x_1, y_1) &= K_{\alpha\beta}(x, y, x_1, y_1), \quad \text{if } \alpha \neq 0, \\
 K(x, y, x_1, y_1) &= K_{0\beta}(x, y, x_1, y_1), \quad \text{if } \alpha = 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

*Proof* Expression (25) has been proved before. Let us see that  $K(x, y, x_1, y_1) \in L_2(\Omega \times \Omega)$ .

Note that in the kernel defined in (25), all the items are bounded except the first one. So, we only need to prove that

$$\theta(y)\theta(y_1)\theta(x - x_1)G(x - x_1, y, y_1) \in L_2(\Omega \times \Omega).$$

From the representation of the Green's function  $G(x - x_1, y, y_1)$  given in (10), it follows that, for the aforementioned aim, it is enough to prove that (for  $n = 0$ ):

$$B(x - x_1, y, y_1) = \theta(y)\theta(y_1)\theta(x - x_1) \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi(x - x_1)}} \left[ \exp \left\{ -\frac{(y - y_1)^2}{4(x - x_1)} \right\} - \exp \left\{ -\frac{(y + y_1)^2}{4(x - x_1)} \right\} \right]$$

is bounded.

First, note that

$$B(x - x_1, y, y_1) \leq \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}(x - x_1)} e^{-\frac{(y-y_1)^2}{4(x-x_1)}}.$$

Using this fact, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \|B\|_{L_2(\Omega \times \Omega)}^2 &= \int_0^1 dx \int_0^1 dy \int_0^x dx_1 \int_0^1 |B(x - x_1, y, y_1)|^2 dy_1 \\ &= \int_0^1 dy \int_0^1 dy_1 \int_0^1 dx \int_0^x |B(x, y, y_1)|^2 dx_1 \\ &\leq \int_0^1 dy \int_0^1 dy_1 \int_0^1 |B(x, y, y_1)|^2 dx \leq \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_0^1 dy \int_0^1 dy_1 \int_0^1 \frac{1}{x} e^{-\frac{(y-y_1)^2}{4x}} dx \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_0^1 dy \int_0^1 \frac{dx}{x} \int_0^1 e^{-\frac{(y-y_1)^2}{4x}} dy_1. \end{aligned}$$

By means of the change of variables  $\frac{y-y_1}{2\sqrt{x}} = y_2$ , we get that this last expression is less than or equals to the following one:

$$\frac{1}{4\pi} \int_0^1 dy \int_0^1 \frac{dx}{x} \int_{\frac{y-1}{2\sqrt{x}}}^{\frac{y}{2\sqrt{x}}} e^{-y_2^2} 2\sqrt{x} dy_2 \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^1 dy \int_0^1 \frac{dx}{\sqrt{x}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-y_2^2} dy_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}}.$$

As a consequence,  $K(x, y; x_1, y_1) \in L_2(\Omega \times \Omega)$  and Lemma 1 is completely proved. □

Define now

$$F_{\alpha\beta}(x) = \begin{cases} F_1(x), & \alpha \neq 0, \\ F_2(x), & \alpha = 0. \end{cases}$$

We have the following regularity result for this function.

**Lemma 2** *If  $f \in C^1(\overline{\Omega}), f(0, 0) = 0$  and  $Q \in C^1([0, 1] \times [0, 1])$ , then  $F_{\alpha\beta} \in C^1[0, 1]$  and  $F_{\alpha\beta}(0) = 0$ .*

*Proof* Using the explicit form of the Green's function given in (10), it is not complicated to prove that function  $F_{\alpha\beta}$ , defined by formulas (16) and (19), belongs to the class of functions  $C^1[0, 1]$  and  $F_{\alpha\beta}(0) = 0$ .

Lemma 2 is proved. □

**Lemma 3** *Suppose that  $Q \in C^1([0, 1] \times [0, 1])$  and  $f \in L_2(\Omega)$ , then  $F_{\alpha\beta} \in L_2(\Omega)$  and*

$$\|F_{\alpha\beta}\|_{L_2(0,1)} \leq C \|f\|_{L_2(\Omega)}. \tag{26}$$

*Proof* Consider the following problem in  $\Omega_0$ :

$$\omega_x - \omega_{yy} = f(x, y), \quad \omega|_{AA_0 \cup A_0B_0 \cup AB} = 0. \tag{27}$$

Obviously, we have that  $F_0(x) = \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} \omega_y(x, y)$ .

First, note that it is known [35] that problem (27) has a unique solution  $\omega \in W_2^{1,2}(\Omega_0)$ , and it satisfies the following inequality:

$$\|\omega\|_{L_2(\Omega_0)}^2 + \|\omega_x\|_{L_2(\Omega_0)}^2 + \|\omega_y\|_{L_2(\Omega_0)}^2 + \|\omega_{yy}\|_{L_2(\Omega_0)}^2 \leq C\|f\|_{L_2(\Omega_0)}^2. \tag{28}$$

Using now the obvious equality

$$\omega_y(x, 0) = \omega_y(x, y) - \int_0^y \omega_{yy}(x, t) dt,$$

we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\omega_y(\cdot, 0)\|_{L_2(0,1)}^2 &= \int_0^1 |\omega_y(x, 0)|^2 dx = \int_0^1 dy \int_0^1 |\omega_y(x, 0)|^2 dx \\ &\leq C[\|\omega_y\|_{L_2(\Omega_0)}^2 + \|\omega_{yy}\|_{L_2(\Omega_0)}^2]. \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

From (28) and (29), we obtain

$$\|F_0\|_{L_2(0,1)} = \|\omega_y(\cdot, 0)\|_{L_2(0,1)} \leq C\|f\|_{L_2(\Omega_0)}. \tag{30}$$

Now, by virtue of the conditions of Lemma 3 and the representations (16) and (19), from expression (30) and the Cauchy-Bunjakovskii inequalities, we get the estimate (26) and conclude the proof.  $\square$

Denote now  $\|\cdot\|_l$  as the norm of the Sobolev space  $H^l(\Omega) \equiv W_2^l(\Omega)$  with  $W_2^0(\Omega) \equiv L_2(\Omega)$ .

**Lemma 4** *Let  $u$  be the unique regular solution of Problem B. Then the following estimate holds:*

$$\|u\|_1 \leq c\|f\|_0. \tag{31}$$

Here,  $c$  is a positive constant that does not depend on  $u$ .

*Proof* By virtue of Lemma 3, and from (20) and (21), we deduce that

$$\|\tau'\|_{L_2(0,1)} \leq C\|F_{\alpha\beta}\|_{L_2(0,1)} \leq C\|f\|_0.$$

The result follows from expression (22).  $\square$

**Definition 1** We define the set  $W$  as the set of all the regular solutions of Problem B.

A function  $u \in L_2(\Omega)$  is said to be a strong solution of Problem B, if there exists a functional sequence  $\{u_n\} \subset W$ , such that  $u_n$  and  $Lu_n$  converge in  $L_2(\Omega)$  to  $u$  and  $f$ , respectively.

Define  $\mathbb{L}$  as the closure of the differential operator  $\mathbb{L} : W \rightarrow L_2(\Omega)$ , given by expression (2).

Note that, according to the definition of the strong solution, the function  $u$  will be a strong solution of Problem B if and only if  $u \in D(\mathbb{L})$ .

Now we are in a position to prove the following uniqueness result for strong solutions.

**Theorem 2** For any function  $Q \in C^1([0, 1] \times [0, 1])$  and  $f \in L_2(\Omega)$ , there exists a unique strong solution  $u$  of Problem B. Moreover,  $u \in W_2^1(\Omega) \cap W_{x,y}^{1,2}(\Omega_1) \cap C(\bar{\Omega})$ , satisfies inequality (31) and it is given by the expression (25).

*Proof* Let  $C_0^1(\bar{\Omega})$  be the set of the  $C^1(\bar{\Omega})$  functions that vanish in a neighborhood of  $\partial\Omega$  ( $\partial\Omega$  is a boundary of the domain  $\Omega$ ). Since  $C_0^1(\bar{\Omega})$  is dense in  $L_2(\Omega)$ , we have that for any function  $f \in L_2(\Omega)$ , there exist a functional sequence  $f_n \in C_0^1(\bar{\Omega})$ , such that  $\|f_n - f\| \rightarrow 0$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

It is not difficult to verify that if  $f_n \in C_0^1(\bar{\Omega})$  then  $F_{\alpha\beta n} \in C^1([0, 1])$  (with obvious notation). Therefore, Eqs. (14) and (18) can be considered as a second kind Volterra integral equation in the space  $C^1([0, 1])$ . Consequently, we have that  $\tau_n'(x) = u_{nx}(x, 0) \in C^1[0; 1]$ . Due to the properties of the solutions of the boundary value problem for the heat equation in  $\Omega_0$  and the Darboux problem, by using the representations (6) and (9), we conclude that  $u_n \in W$  for all  $f_n \in C_0^1(\bar{\Omega})$ .

By virtue of the inequality (31), we get

$$\|u_n - u\|_1 \leq c\|f_n - f\|_0 \rightarrow 0.$$

Consequently,  $\{u_n\}$  is a sequence of strong solutions, hence, Problem B is strongly solvable for all right hand  $f \in L_2(\Omega)$ , and the strong solution belongs to the space  $W_2^1(\Omega) \cap W_{x,y}^{1,2}(\Omega_1) \cap C(\bar{\Omega})$ . Thus, Theorem 2 is proved.  $\square$

Consider now, for all  $n = 2, 3, \dots$ , the sequence of kernels given by the recurrence formula

$$K_n(x, y; x_1, y_1) = \iint_{\Omega} K(x, y; x_2, y_2) K_{(n-1)}(x_2, y_2, x_1, y_1) dx_2 dy_2,$$

with

$$K_1(x, y; x_1, y_1) = K(x, y; x_1, y_1),$$

and  $K$  defined in Lemma 1.

**Lemma 5** For the iterated kernels  $K_n(x, y; x_1, y_1)$  we have the following estimate:

$$|K_n(x, y; x_1, y_1)| \leq (\sqrt{\pi}M)^n \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{n-1} \frac{(x - x_1)^{\frac{n}{2}-1}}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})}, \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots, \tag{32}$$

where  $M = \max_{\substack{(x,y) \in \Omega \\ (x_1,y_1) \in \Omega}} |\sqrt{x - x_1}K(x, y; x_1, y_1)|$  and  $\Gamma$  is the Gamma-function of Euler.

*Proof* The proof will be done by induction in  $n$ .

Taking the representation of the Green's function given in (10) into account, and from the representation of the kernel  $K(x, y; x_1, y_1)$  at  $n = 1$ , the inequality (32)

$$|K_1(x, y; x_1, y_1)| \leq M(x - x_1)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

is automatically deduced.

Let (32) be valid for  $n = k - 1$ . We will prove the validity of this formula for  $n = k$ . To this end, by using inequality (32), at  $n = 1$  and  $n = k - 1$ , we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & |K_k(x, y; x_1, y_1)| \\ &= \left| \iint_{\Omega} K(x, y; x_2, y_2) K_{(k-1)}(x_2, y_2; x_1, y_1) dx_2 dy_2 \right| \\ &\leq \iint_{\Omega} |K(x, y; x_2, y_2)| |K_{(k-1)}(x_2, y_2; x_1, y_1)| dx_2 dy_2 \\ &\leq \iint_{\Omega} \theta(x - x_2) M(x - x_2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \theta(x_2 - x_1) (\sqrt{\pi} M)^{k-1} \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{k-2} \frac{(x_2 - x_1)^{\frac{k}{2} - \frac{3}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{k-1}{2})} dx_2 dy_2 \\ &\leq M^k (\sqrt{\pi})^{k-1} \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{k-2} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{k-1}{2})} \int_{x_1}^x dx_2 \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^1 (x - x_2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} (x_2 - x_1)^{\frac{k}{2} - \frac{3}{2}} dy_2. \end{aligned}$$

Evaluating the previous integrals, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} |K_k(x, y; x_1, y_1)| &\leq M^k (\sqrt{\pi})^{k-1} \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{k-1} \frac{(x - x_1)^{\frac{k}{2} - 1}}{\Gamma(\frac{k-1}{2})} \int_0^1 \sigma^{-\frac{1}{2}} (1 - \sigma)^{\frac{k}{2} - \frac{3}{2}} d\sigma \\ &= (\sqrt{\pi} M)^k \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{k-1} \frac{(x - x_1)^{\frac{k}{2} - 1}}{\Gamma(\frac{k}{2})}, \end{aligned}$$

which proves Lemma 5. □

Now we are in a position to prove the final result of this paper, which gives us the Volterra property for the inverse of operator  $\mathbb{L}$ .

**Theorem 3** *The integral operator defined in the right hand of (25), i.e.*

$$\mathbb{L}^{-1}f(x, y) = \iint_{\Omega} K(x, y; x_1, y_1) f(x_1, y_1) dx_1 dy_1, \tag{33}$$

*has the Volterra property (it is almost continuous and quasi-nilpotent) in  $L_2(\Omega)$ .*

*Proof* Since the continuity of this operator follows from the fact that  $K \in L_2(\Omega \times \Omega)$ . To prove this theorem, we only need to verify that operator  $\mathbb{L}^{-1}$ , defined by (33), is quasi-nilpotent, i.e.

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\mathbb{L}^{-n}\|_0^{\frac{1}{n}} = 0, \tag{34}$$

where

$$\mathbb{L}^{-n} = \mathbb{L}^{-1}[\mathbb{L}^{-(n-1)}], \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

From (33), and by direct calculations, one can easily arrive at the following expression:

$$\mathbb{L}^{-n}f(x, y) = \iint_{\Omega} K_n(x, y; x_1, y_1) f(x_1, y_1) dx_1 dy_1. \tag{35}$$

Consequently, using the inequality of Schwarz and expression (32), from the representation (35) we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbb{L}^{-n}f\|_0^2 &= \iint_{\Omega} |\mathbb{L}^{-n}f|^2 dx dy = \iint_{\Omega} \left[ \iint_{\Omega} K_n(x, y; x_1, y_1) f(x_1, y_1) dx_1 dy_1 \right]^2 dx dy \\ &\leq \iint_{\Omega} \left[ \left( \iint_{\Omega} |f(x_1, y_1)|^2 dx_1 dy_1 \right) \left( \iint_{\Omega} |K_n(x, y; x_1, y_1)|^2 dx_1 dy_1 \right) \right] dx dy \\ &\leq \left( \frac{3}{2} \sqrt{\pi} M \right)^{2n} \frac{1}{n(n-1)\Gamma^2(\frac{n}{2})} \|f\|_0^2. \end{aligned}$$

From here, we get

$$\|\mathbb{L}^{-n}\|_0 \leq \left( \frac{3}{2} \sqrt{\pi} M \right)^n \frac{1}{\Gamma(1 + \frac{n}{2})}.$$

From the last equality, one can state the validity of the equality (34) and Theorem 3 is proved. □

**Consequence 1** Problem B has the Volterra property.

**Consequence 2** For any complex number  $\lambda$ , the equation

$$\mathbb{L}u - \lambda u = f \tag{36}$$

is uniquely solvable for all  $f \in L_2(\Omega)$ .

Due to the invertibility of the operator  $\mathbb{L}$ , the unique solvability of Eq. (36) is equivalent to the uniqueness of solution of the equation

$$u - \lambda \mathbb{L}^{-1}u = \mathbb{L}^{-1}f,$$

which is a second kind of Volterra equation. This proves Consequence 2 of Theorem 3.

**Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

**Authors' contributions**

The four authors have participated into the obtained results. The collaboration of each one cannot be separated in different parts of the paper. All of them have made substantial contributions to the theoretical results. The four authors have been involved in drafting the manuscript and revising it critically for important intellectual content. All authors have given final approval of the version to be published.

**Author details**

<sup>1</sup>Kazakh National Pedagogical University named after Abai, Almaty, Kazakhstan. <sup>2</sup>University of Santiago de Compostela, Santiago de Compostela, Spain. <sup>3</sup>Institute of Mathematics, National University of Uzbekistan named after Mirzo Ulughbek, Tashkent, Uzbekistan.

**Acknowledgements**

This research was partially supported by Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación-SPAIN, and FEDER, project MTM2010-15314 and KazNPU Rector's grant for 2013.

## References

1. Chaplygin, SA: On gas streams. Dissertation, Moscow (1902) (in Russian)
2. Tricomi, F: Sulle equazioni lineari alle derivate parziali di secondo ordine, di tipo misto. Rendicotti, Atti dell' Accademia Nazionale del Lincei, Series 5 **14**, 134-247 (1923)
3. Gellerstedt, S: Quelques problèmes mixtes pour l'équation  $y^m z_{xx} + z_{yy} = 0$ . Ark. Mat. Astron. Fys. **26A**(3), 1-32 (1938)
4. Lavrent'ev, MA, Bitsadze, AV: To the problem of mixed type equations. Dokl. An SSSR **70**(3), 485-488 (1950)
5. Bitsadze, AV: To the problem of mixed type equations. Trudy MI AN SSSR **41**, 59 (1953)
6. Bitsadze, AV: Some Classes of Partial Differential Equations. Nauka, Moscow (1981) (in Russian)
7. Frankle, FI: On Chaplygin's problem for mixed sub and super sonic sound currents. Izvest. AN SSSR, math. Ser. **9**, 121-143 (1945)
8. Protter, MH: Uniqueness theorem for Tricomi problem I. J. Ration. Mech. Anal. **2**, 107-114 (1953)
9. Protter, MH: Uniqueness theorem for Tricomi problem II. J. Ration. Mech. Anal. **4**, 721-732 (1955)
10. Morawetz, CZ: A weak solution for a system of equations of elliptic-hyperbolic type. Commun. Pure Appl. Math. **11**, 315-331 (1958)
11. Berezanskii, YM: Decompositions on Eigenfunctions of Self-Adjoint Operators. Naukova Dumka, Kiev (1965)
12. Bers, L: Mathematical Aspects of Subsonic and Transonic Gas Dynamics. Wiley, London (1958)
13. Salakhitdinov, MS, Urinov, AK: Boundary Value Problems for Equations of Mixed Type with a Spectral Parameter. Fan, Tashkent (1997) (in Russian)
14. Nakhushev, AM: Equations of Mathematical Biology. Visshaya Shkola, Moscow (1995) (in Russian)
15. Ostertagova, E, Ostertag, O, Bocko, J: Problems of mechanics described by parabolic and hyperbolic differential equations (of second order). In: Proceedings of the 4th International Conference 'Modelling of Mechanical and Mechatronic Systems 2011', pp. 385-391 (2011)
16. Gelfand, IM: Some questions of analysis and differential equations. Usp. Mat. Nauk **14**(3), 3-19 (1959)
17. Leibenzon, LS: Movement of Natural Liquids and Gases in Porous Medium. Gostexizdat, Moscow (1947) (in Russian)
18. Salakhitdinov, MS, Berdyshev, AS: On Volterra property of the boundary problem with deviation from the characteristics for parabolic-hyperbolic equation. Uzb. Math. J. **3**, 6-13 (1993)
19. Salakhitdinov, MS: Equation of Mixed-Composite Type. Fan, Tashkent (1979) (in Russian)
20. Ashyralyev, A, Ozdemir, Y: A note on the nonlocal boundary value problem for hyperbolic-parabolic equations. Funct. Differ. Equ. **12**(1-2), 67-81 (2005)
21. Ashyralyev, A, Yurtsever, A: A note on the second order of accuracy difference schemes for hyperbolic-parabolic equations. Appl. Math. Comput. **165**, 517-537 (2005)
22. Nakhusheva, VA: First boundary problem for mixed type equation in characteristic polygon. Dokl. AMAN **14**(1), 58-65 (2012)
23. Khubiev, KU: Gellerstedt problem for characteristic loaded parabolic-hyperbolic type equation. Dokl. AMAN **13**(1), 117-123 (2011)
24. Berdyshev, AS, Karimov, ET: Some non-local problems for the parabolic-hyperbolic type equation with non-characteristic line of changing type. Cent. Eur. J. Math. **4**(2), 183-193 (2006)
25. Kapustin, NY, Moiseev, EI: On spectral problems with a spectral parameter in the boundary condition. Differ. Uravn. (Minsk) **33**(1), 115-119 (1997) (Russian), translation in Differ. Equ. **33**(1), 116-120 (1997)
26. Eleev, VA: Analogue of the Tricomi problem for the mixed parabolic-hyperbolic equations with non-characteristic line of changing type. Differ. Uravn. (Minsk) **13**(1), 56-63 (1977)
27. Berdyshev, AS: On local boundary problems with deviation from characteristics for parabolic-hyperbolic equations. Izv. AN UzSSR. Seria Fiz.-mat.nauk **3**, 14-18 (1989)
28. Sadybekov, MA, Tojzhanova, GD: Spectral properties of a class of parabolic-hyperbolic equations. Differ. Uravn. (Minsk) **28**(1), 176-179 (1992)
29. Berdyshev, AS: On Volterra property of some problems with Bitsadze-Samarskii conditions for mixed parabolic-hyperbolic equations. Sib. Math. J. **46**(3), 500-510 (2005)
30. Berdyshev, AS, Rakhmatullaeva, NA: Nonlocal problems with special gluing for a parabolic-hyperbolic equation. Proceedings of the 6th International ISAAC Congress 'Further Progress in Analysis', Ankara, Turkey, 13-18 August 2007, pp. 727-734 (2007)
31. Berdyshev, AS, Cabada, A, Kadirkulov, BJ: The Samarskii-Ionkin type problem for the fourth order parabolic equation with fractional differential operator. Comput. Math. Appl. **62**, 3884-3893 (2011)
32. Berdyshev, AS, Karimov, ET, Akhtaeva, NS: Boundary value problems with integral gluing conditions for fractional-order mixed-type equation. Int. J. Differ. Equ. **2011**, Article ID 268465 (2011). doi:10.1155/2011/268465
33. Berdyshev, AS, Cabada, A, Karimov, ET: On a non-local boundary problem for a parabolic-hyperbolic equation involving a Riemann-Liouville fractional differential operator. Nonlinear Anal., Theory Methods Appl. **75**(6), 3268-3273 (2012)
34. Tikhonov, AN, Samarskii, AA: Equations of Mathematical Physics. Nauka, Moscow (1977)
35. Mikhailov, VP: Partial Differential Equations. Nauka, Moscow (1983)

doi:10.1186/1687-2770-2013-94

Cite this article as: Berdyshev et al.: On the Volterra property of a boundary problem with integral gluing condition for a mixed parabolic-hyperbolic equation. *Boundary Value Problems* 2013 **2013**:94.